

COMPACTIFIED JACOBIANS OF NÉRON TYPE

LUCIA CAPORASO

ABSTRACT. We characterize stable curves X whose compactified degree- d Jacobian is of Néron type. This means the following: for any one-parameter regular smoothing of X , the special fiber of the Néron model of the Jacobian of the generic fiber is isomorphic to a dense open subset of the degree- d compactified Jacobian. It is well known that compactified Jacobians of Néron type have the best modular properties, and that they are endowed with a mapping property useful for applications.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a stable curve and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ a one-parameter smoothing of X with $\mathcal{X}$ a nonsingular surface; X is thus identified with the special fiber of f and all other fibers are smooth curves. Let $N_f^d \rightarrow B$ be the Néron model of the degree- d Jacobian of the generic fiber of f ; its existence was proved by A. Néron in [N64], and its connection with the Picard functor was established by M. Raynaud in [R70]. So, $N_f^d \rightarrow B$ is a smooth and separated morphism, whose generic fiber is the degree- d Jacobian of the generic fiber of f ; the special fiber, denoted N_X^d , is isomorphic to a disjoint union of copies of the generalized Jacobian of X . $N_f^d \rightarrow B$ can be interpreted as the maximal separated quotient of the degree- d Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_f^d \rightarrow B$. In particular, if $\text{Pic}_f^d \rightarrow B$ is separated, which happens if and only if X is irreducible, then $N_f^d = \text{Pic}_f^d$ (we refer to [R70], [BLR] or [A86] for details).

The Néron model has a universal property, the Néron Mapping Property ([BLR, def. 1]), which determines it uniquely. Moreover, as d varies in $\mathbb{Z}$, the special fibers, N_X^d , of $N_f^d \rightarrow B$ are all isomorphic.

By contrast, the compactified degree- d Jacobian of a reducible curve X , denoted $\overline{P}_X^d$, has a structure which varies with d . For example, the number of irreducible components, and the modular properties, depend on d ; see Section 2 for details and references.

$\overline{P}_X^d$ will be called of *Néron type* if its smooth locus is isomorphic to N_X^d . Compactified Jacobians of Néron type have the best modular properties. Moreover they inherit a mapping property from the universal property of the Néron model which provides a very useful tool; see for example [CE] for applications to Abel maps.

The purpose of this paper is to classify, for every d , those stable curves $X \in \overline{M}_g$ such that $\overline{P}_X^d$ is on Néron type. The question is interesting if $g \geq 2$, for otherwise $\overline{P}_X^d$ is always irreducible, and hence of Néron type.

Before stating our main result, we need a few words about compactified Jacobians. $\overline{P}_X^d$ parametrizes certain line bundles on quasistable curves having X as stabilization. These are the so-called “balanced” line bundles; among balanced line bundles there are some distinguished ones, called “strictly balanced”, which have better modular properties. In fact, to every balanced line bundle there corresponds a unique point in $\overline{P}_X^d$, but different balanced line bundles may determine the same point. On the other hand every point of $\overline{P}_X^d$ corresponds to a unique class of strictly balanced line bundles.

The curve X is called *d-general* if every balanced line bundle of degree d is strictly balanced. This is equivalent to the fact that $\overline{P}_X^d$ is a geometric GIT-quotient. The property of being *d-general* depends only on the weighted dual graph of X , and the locus of *d-general* curves in $\overline{M}_g$ has been precisely described by M. Melo in [M07].

Now, the degree- d compactified Jacobian of a *d-general* curve is of Néron type, by [C05, Thm. 6.1]. But, as we will prove, the converse does not hold.

More precisely, a stable curve X is called *weakly d-general* if a curve obtained by smoothing every separating node of X , and maintaining all the non separating nodes, is *d-general*; see Definition 1.13.

Our main result, Theorem 2.9, states that $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type if and only if X is weakly *d-general*. The proof combines standard techniques with combinatorial methods originating from recent work with F. Viviani.

The locus of weakly *d-general* curves in $\overline{M}_g$ is precisely described in section 2.11; its complement turns out to have codimension at least 2.

I am grateful to M. Melo and F. Viviani for their precious comments.

1.1. Notations and conventions.

- (1) We work over an algebraically closed field k . The word “curve” means projective scheme of pure dimension one. The genus of a curve will be the arithmetic genus, unless otherwise specified.
- (2) By X we will always denote a nodal curve of genus g . For any subcurve $Z \subset X$ we denote by g_Z its arithmetic genus, by $Z^c := \overline{X} \setminus \overline{Z}$ and by $\delta_Z := \#Z \cap Z^c$. We set $w_Z := \deg_Z \omega_X = 2g_Z - 2 + \delta_Z$.
- (3) A node n of a connected curve X is called *separating* if $X \setminus \{n\}$ is not connected. The set of all separating nodes of X is denoted by X_{sep} and the set of all nodes of X by X_{sing} .
- (4) A nodal curve X of genus $g \geq 2$ is called *stable* if it is connected and if every component $E \subset X$ such that $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfies $\delta_E \geq 3$. X is called *quasistable* if it is connected, if every $E \subset X$ such that $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfies $\delta_E \geq 2$, and if two exceptional components never intersect, where an exceptional component is defined as an $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\delta_E = 2$. We denote by X_{exc} the union of the exceptional components of X .
- (5) Let $S \subset X_{\text{sing}}$ we denote by $\nu_S : X_S^\nu \rightarrow X$ the normalization of X at S , and by $\widehat{X}_S$ the quasistable curve obtained by “blowing-up” all the nodes in S , so that there is a natural surjective map

$$\widehat{X}_S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\#S} E_i \cup X_S^\nu \longrightarrow X$$

restricting to ν_S on X_S^ν and contracting all the exceptional components E_i of $\widehat{X}_S$. $\widehat{X}_S$ is also called a *quasistable curve* of X .

- (6) Let $C_1, \dots, C_\gamma$ be the irreducible components of X . Every line bundle $L \in \text{Pic } X$ has a multidegree $\underline{\deg} L = (\deg_{C_1} L, \dots, \deg_{C_\gamma} L) \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$. Let $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$, we set $|\underline{d}| = \sum_{i=1}^\gamma d_i$; for any subcurve $Z \subset X$ we abuse notation slightly and denote

$$\underline{d}_Z := \sum_{C_i \subset Z} d_i.$$

1.2. Compactified Jacobians of Néron type. Let X be any nodal connected curve and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ a one-parameter *regular smoothing* for X , i.e. B is a smooth connected one-dimensional scheme with a marked point $b_0 \in B$, $\mathcal{X}$ is a regular surface, and f is a projective morphism whose fiber over b_0 is X and whose remaining fibers are smooth curves. We set $U := B \setminus \{b_0\}$ and let $f_U : \mathcal{X}_U \rightarrow U$ be the family of

smooth curves obtained by restricting f to U . Consider the relative degree d Picard scheme over U , denoted $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d \rightarrow U$. Its Néron model over B will be denoted by

$$(1.1) \quad N_f^d := N(\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d) \longrightarrow B.$$

The fiber of N_f^d over b_0 will be denoted N_X^d ; N_X^d is isomorphic to a finite number of copies of the generalized Jacobian of X . The number of copies is independent of d ; to compute it we introduce the so-called “degree class group”.

Let γ be the number of irreducible components of X . For every component C_i of X set $k_{i,j} := \#(C_i \cap C_j)$ if $j \neq i$, and $k_{i,i} = -\#(C_i \cap \overline{C \setminus C_i})$ so that the matrix $(k_{i,j})$ is symmetric matrix. Notice that for every regular smoothing $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of X as above, we have $\deg_{C_j} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(C_i) = k_{i,j}$. Hence this matrix is also related to f , although it does not depend on the choice of f (as long as $\mathcal{X}$ is regular).

We have $\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma} k_{i,j} = 0$ for every i . Now, for every $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$ set $\underline{c}_i := (k_{1,i}, \dots, k_{\gamma,i}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{Z} := \{\underline{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\gamma} : |\underline{d}| = 0\}$ so that $\underline{c}_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. We can now define the sublattice $\Lambda_X := \langle \underline{c}_1, \dots, \underline{c}_{\gamma} \rangle \subset \mathbf{Z}$.

The *degree class group* of X is the group $\Delta_X := \mathbf{Z}/\Lambda_X$. It is not hard to prove that Δ_X is a finite group.

Let $\underline{d}$ and $\underline{d}'$ be in $\mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}$; we say that they are equivalent if $\underline{d} - \underline{d}' \in \Lambda_X$. We denote by Δ_X^d the set of equivalence classes of multidegrees of total degree d . It is clear that $\Delta_X = \Delta_X^0$ and that

$$\#\Delta_X = \#\Delta_X^d.$$

Now back to N_X^d , the special fiber of (1.1); as we said it is a smooth, possibly non connected scheme of pure dimension g .

Fact 1.3. *Under the above assumptions, the number of irreducible (i.e. connected) components of N_X^d is equal to $\#\Delta_X$.*

This is well known; see [R70, 8.1.2] (where Δ_X is the same as $\ker \beta / \text{Im } \alpha$) or [BLR, thm. 9.6.1]. Using the standard notation of Néron models theory we have $\Delta_X = \Phi_{N_f^d}$, i.e. Δ_X is the “component group” of N_f^d .

For every stable curve X and every d we denote by $\overline{P_X^d}$ the degree d compactified Jacobian (or degree- d compactified Picard scheme). $\overline{P_X^d}$ has been constructed in [OS79] for a fixed curve, and independently for families in [Sim94] and in [C94] (the constructions of [OS79] and [Sim94] are here considered with respect to the canonical polarization); these three constructions give the same scheme by [Ale04], see also [LM05]. We mention that another compactified Jacobian is constructed in [E01], whose connection with the others is under investigation. An explicit description of $\overline{P_X^d}$ will be recalled in 2.2. We here anticipate the fact that $\overline{P_X^d}$ is a connected projective scheme of pure dimension g . As we said in the introduction, several geometric and modular properties of $\overline{P_X^d}$ depend on d .

Definition 1.4. Let X be a stable curve and $\overline{P_X^d}$ its degree d compactified Jacobian. We say that $\overline{P_X^d}$ is of *Néron type* if the number of irreducible components of $\overline{P_X^d}$ is equal to the number of irreducible components of N_X^d .

Example 1.5. A curve X is called *tree-like* if every node of X lying in two different irreducible components is a separating node.

The compactified Jacobian of a tree-like curve X is easily seen to be always of Néron type. Indeed $\overline{P_X^d}$ is irreducible for every d , on the other hand $\Delta_X = \{0\}$ so that N_X^d is also irreducible.

Let now $\pi : \overline{P_f^d} \rightarrow B$ be the compactified degree- d Picard scheme of a regular smoothing $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of X , as defined in 1.1. So the fiber of π over b_0 is $\overline{P_X^d}$,

and the restriction of π over $U = B \setminus \{b_0\} \subset B$ is $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d$. We denote $P_f^d \rightarrow B$ the smooth locus of π . By the Néron Mapping Property there exists a canonical B -morphism from P_f^d to the Néron model of $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d$:

$$\chi_f : P_f^d \rightarrow N_f^d$$

extending the identity map from the generic fiber of π to the generic fiber of $N_f^d \rightarrow B$.

Proposition 1.6. *In the above set up, $\overline{P_X^d}$ is of Néron type if and only if the map $\chi_f : P_f^d \rightarrow N_f^d$ is an isomorphism for every $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ as above.*

The proof, requiring a description of $\overline{P_X^d}$, will be given later, in 2.6.

1.7. Smoothing separating nodes. A stable weighted graph of genus g is a pair (Γ, w) , where Γ is a graph and $w : V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ a *weight function*. The genus of (Γ, w) is the number $g_{(\Gamma, w)}$ defined as follows:

$$g_{(\Gamma, w)} = \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} w(v) + b_1(\Gamma).$$

A weighted graph will be called *stable* if every $v \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $w(v) = 0$ has valency at least 3.

Let X be a nodal curve of genus g , the weighted dual graph of X is the weighted graph (Γ_X, w_X) such that Γ_X is the usual dual graph of X (the vertices of Γ_X are identified with the irreducible components of X and the edges are identified with the nodes of X ; an edge joins two, possibly equal, vertices if the corresponding node is in the intersection of the corresponding irreducible components), and w_X is the *weight function* on the set of irreducible components of X , $V(\Gamma_X)$, assigning to a vertex the geometric genus of the corresponding component. Hence

$$g = \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma_X)} w_X(v) + b_1(\Gamma_X) = g_{(\Gamma, w)}.$$

X is a stable curve if and only if (Γ_X, w_X) is a stable weighted graph.

Now we ask: What happens to the weighted dual graph of X if we smooth all the separating nodes of X ?

To answer this question, we introduce a new weighted graph associated to a weighted graph (Γ, w) . This will be denoted (Γ^2, w^2) such that Γ^2 is the graph obtained by contracting every separating edge of Γ to a point. So, Γ^2 is 2-edge-connected (i.e. free from separating edges); this explains the notational choice, used elsewhere in the literature. There is a natural surjective map contracting the separating edges of Γ

$$\sigma : \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma^2$$

and an induced surjection on the set of vertices

$$\phi : V(\Gamma) \longrightarrow V(\Gamma^2); \quad v \mapsto \sigma(v).$$

The weight function w^2 is defined as follows. For every $v^2 \in V(\Gamma^2)$

$$w^2(v^2) = \sum_{v \in \phi^{-1}(v^2)} w(v).$$

As σ does not contract any loop, $b_1(\Gamma) = b_1(\Gamma^2)$ and $g_{(\Gamma, w)} = g_{(\Gamma^2, w^2)}$.

Remark 1.8. If (Γ, w) is the weighted dual graph of a curve X , (Γ^2, w^2) is the weighted dual graph of any curve obtained by smoothing every separating node of X . We shall usually denote by X^2 such a curve. Of course X and X^2 have the same genus.

1.9. d -general and weakly d -general curves. Let us recall the definitions of balanced and strictly balanced multidegrees.

Definition 1.10. Let X be a quasistable curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and $L \in \text{Pic}^d X$. Let $\underline{d}$ be the multidegree of L .

- (1) We say that L , or $\underline{d}$, is *balanced* if for any subcurve (equivalently, for any connected subcurve) $Z \subset Y$ we have (notation in 1.1(2))

$$(1.2) \quad \deg_Z L \geq m_Z(d) := \frac{dw_Z}{2g-2} - \frac{\delta_Z}{2},$$

and if $\deg_Z L = 1$ if Z is an exceptional component.

- (2) We say that L , or $\underline{d}$, is *strictly balanced* if it is balanced and if strict inequality holds in (1.2) for every $Z \subsetneq X$ such that $Z \cap Z^c \not\subset X_{\text{exc}}$.

- (3) We denote

$$\overline{B_d(X)} = \{\underline{d} : |\underline{d}| = d \text{ balanced on } X\} \supset B_d(X) = \{\underline{d} : \text{strictly balanced}\}.$$

Remark 1.11. Let X be a stable curve. Then every multidegree class in Δ_X^d has a balanced representative, which is unique if and only if it is strictly balanced; see [C05, Prop. 4.12]. Therefore

$$\#B_d(X) \leq \#\Delta_X \leq \#\overline{B_d(X)}.$$

The terminology “strictly balanced” is not to be confused with “stably balanced” (used elsewhere and unnecessary here). The two coincide for stable curves, but, in general, a stably balanced line bundle is strictly balanced, but the converse may fail.

Let us explain the difference. The compactified Picard scheme of X , $\overline{P_X^d}$ is a GIT-quotient of a certain scheme by a certain group G . Strictly balanced line bundles correspond to the GIT-semistable orbits that are closed in the GIT-semistable locus. Stably balanced line bundles correspond to GIT-stable points and balanced line bundles correspond to GIT-semistable points. As every point in $\overline{P_X^d}$ parametrizes a unique closed orbit, strictly balanced line bundles of degree d on quasistable curves of X are bijectively parametrized by $\overline{P_X^d}$. See Fact 2.2 below.

Recall from [C05, 4.13] that a stable curve X is called d -general if $B_d(X) = \overline{B_d(X)}$. Equivalently, X is d -general if the inequalities in Remark 1.11 are all equalities.

Remark 1.12. The following facts are well known:

- (1) The set of d -general stable curves is a nonempty open subset of $\overline{M_g}$.
- (2) $(d-g+1, 2g-1) = 1$ if and only if every stable curve of genus g is d -general.
- (3) The property of being d -general depends only on the weighted dual graph (obvious).

Definition 1.13. Let X be a stable curve. We will say that X , or its weighted dual graph (Γ_X, w_X) , is *d -general* if $B_d(X) = \overline{B_d(X)}$.

We will say that X is *weakly d -general* if (Γ_X^2, w_X^2) is d -general.

Example 1.14. If $X_{\text{sep}} = \emptyset$ then X is d -general if and only if it is weakly general.

If X is tree-like, then (Γ_X^2, w_X^2) has only one vertex, hence it is d -general for every d . Therefore tree-like curves are weakly d -general for every d .

2. IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF COMPACTIFIED JACOBIANS

2.1. Compactified degree- d Jacobians. Let us describe the compactified Jacobian $\overline{P_X^d}$ for any degree d . We use the set up of [C94] and [C05]; in these papers there is the assumption $g \geq 3$, but by [OS79], [Sim94] and [Ale04] we can extend our

results to $g \geq 2$. A synthetic account of the modular properties of the compactified Jacobian for a curve or for a family can be found in [CE, 3.8 and 5.10].

Fact 2.2. *Let X be a stable curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ is a connected, reduced, projective scheme of pure dimension g , admitting a canonical decomposition (notation in 1.1(5))*

$$\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}} = \coprod_{\substack{S \subset X_{\text{sing}} \\ \underline{d} \in B_d(\widehat{X}_S)}} P_S^{\underline{d}}$$

such that for every $S \subset X_{\text{sing}}$ and $\underline{d} \in B_d(\widehat{X}_S)$ there is a natural isomorphism

$$P_S^{\underline{d}} \cong \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}^\nu} X_S^\nu$$

where $\underline{d}^\nu$ denotes the multidegree on $X_S^\nu \subset \widehat{X}_S$ defined by restricting $\underline{d}$.

Let $i(\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}})$ be the number of irreducible components of $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$; then

$$(2.1) \quad B_d(X) \leq i(\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}) \leq \#\Delta_X.$$

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a stable curve.*

(1) *The decomposition of $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ in irreducible components is*

$$\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}} = \bigcup_{(S, \underline{d}) \in I_X^{\underline{d}}} \overline{P}_S^{\underline{d}}, \quad \text{where } I_X^{\underline{d}} := \{(S, \underline{d}) : S \subset X_{\text{sep}}, \underline{d} \in B_d(\widehat{X}_S)\}.$$

(2) *Suppose that X is d -general; then $P_X^{\underline{d}}$ is of Néron type, and for every nonempty $S \subset X_{\text{sep}}$ we have $B_d(\widehat{X}_S) = \emptyset$*

Proof. From Fact 2.2 we have that the irreducible components of $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ are the closures of subsets $P_S^{\underline{d}} \cong \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}^\nu} X_S^\nu$ where S is such that $\dim \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}^\nu} X_S^\nu = g$. Now, it is clear that

$$\dim \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}^\nu} X_S^\nu = \dim J(X_S^\nu) = g \quad \text{if and only if} \quad S \subset X_{\text{sep}}.$$

Therefore the irreducible components of $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ correspond bijectively to pairs $(S, \underline{d})$ with $S \subset X_{\text{sep}}$ and $\underline{d} \in B_d(\widehat{X}_S)$.

Now part (2). It is clear that the set $I_X^{\underline{d}}$ contains a subset identifiable with $B_d(X)$, namely the subset $\{(\emptyset, \underline{d}) : \underline{d} \in B_d(X)\}$. If X is d -general then $\#B_d(X) = \#\Delta_X$, hence by (2.1) we must have that $I_X^{\underline{d}}$ contains no pairs other than those of type $(\emptyset, \underline{d})$. This concludes the proof. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a stable curve of genus g and let $\mu \in \Delta_X^{\underline{d}}$ be a multidegree class. Then there exists a unique $S(\mu) \subset X_{\text{sing}}$ and a unique $\underline{d}(\mu) \in B_d(\widehat{X}_{S(\mu)})$ such that for every $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ with $[\underline{d}] = \mu$ the following properties hold.*

(1) *There is a canonical surjection*

$$\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}} X \longrightarrow P_{S(\mu)}^{\underline{d}(\mu)} \cong \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}(\mu)^\nu} X_{S(\mu)}^\nu.$$

(2) *We have*

$$S(\mu) = \bigcup_{Z \subset X : d_Z = m_Z(d)} Z \cap Z^c.$$

Proof. The proof is standard. Let us sketch it using the combinatorial results [C94, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 6.1]. The terminology used in that paper differs from ours as follows: what we here call a “strictly balanced multidegree $\underline{d}$ on a quasistable curve X ” is there called an “extremal pair $(X, \underline{d})$ ”; cf. subsection 5.2 p. 631.

So, the pair $(\widehat{X}_{S(\mu)}, \underline{d}(\mu))$ is the “extremal pair” associated to μ . This means the following. For every balanced line bundle L on X such that $[\deg L] = \mu$ the

point in $\overline{P_X^d}$ associated to L parametrizes a line bundle $\hat{L} \in \widehat{\text{Pic}^d X_{S(\mu)}}$, and the restriction of $\hat{L}$ to X_S^ν is uniquely determined by L . Conversely every line bundle in $\text{Pic}^{d(\mu)^\nu} X_{S(\mu)}^\nu$ is obtained in this way.

More precisely, recall that $\overline{P_X^d}$ is a GIT quotient, let us denote it by $\overline{P_X^d} = V_X/G$ so that V_X is made of GIT-semistable points, and G is a reductive group. Let $O_G(L) \subset V_X$ be the orbit of L . Then the semistable closure of $O_G(L)$ contains a unique closed orbit $O_G(\hat{L})$ as above. Moreover for every $\underline{d}' \in B_d(X)$ having class μ there exists $L' \in \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}'} X$ such that the above $O_G(\hat{L})$ lies in the closure of $O_G(L')$. Hence the maps $\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}} X \rightarrow \overline{P_X^d}$ and $\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}'} X \rightarrow \overline{P_X^d}$ have the same image. Parenthetically, this ensures separatedness of the relative compactified Picard scheme; see [C05, Sec. 3].

Using the notation of 2.2, we have that for every balanced $\underline{d}$ of class μ the canonical map $\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}} X \rightarrow \overline{P_X^d}$ has image $P_{S(\mu)}^{d(\mu)}$, so that the first part is proved.

Now (2). The previously mentioned Lemma 5.1 implies that for every $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ and every Z such that $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ we have $Z \cap Z^c \subset S(\mu)$. By the above Lemma 6.1 each $n \in S(\mu)$ is obtained in this way $\blacksquare$

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a stable curve of genus g . $\overline{P_X^d}$ is of Néron type if and only if for every $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ and every connected $Z \subset X$ such that $d_Z = m_Z(d)$ we have $Z \cap Z^c \subset X_{\text{sep}}$.*

Proof. We begin by observing that, with the notation of Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$I_X^d = \{(\underline{d}(\mu), S(\mu)), \forall \mu \in \Delta_X^d \text{ such that } \dim P_{S(\mu)}^{d(\mu)} = g\}.$$

Indeed, by Fact 2.2 the set on the right is clearly included in I_X^d . On the other hand let $(S, \underline{d}) \in I_X^d$. To show that there exists $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ such that $\underline{d} = \underline{d}(\mu)$ we can assume that $S \neq \emptyset$ (otherwise it is obvious). So, $\underline{d}$ is a strictly balanced multidegree of total degree d on $\hat{X}_S$. Let $n \in S$; by Corollary 2.3 n is a separating node of X ; let $X = Z \cup Z^c$ with $Z \cap Z^c = \{n\}$. Then Z and Z^c can also be viewed as subcurves of $\hat{X}_S$, where they do not intersect since the node n is replaced by an exceptional component E . Now, $\underline{d}_E = 1$, therefore $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ and $\underline{d}_{Z^c} = m_{Z^c}(d)$. Let $C_Z \subset Z \subset X$ be the irreducible component intersecting Z^c (so that $C_Z \subset \hat{X}_S$ intersects E). Let $\underline{d}^X$ be the multidegree on X defined as follows: for every irreducible component $C \subset X$

$$\underline{d}_C^X = \begin{cases} \underline{d}_C + 1 & \text{if } C = C_Z, \\ \underline{d}_C & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As $\underline{d}$ is balanced on $\hat{X}_S$ one easily checks that $\underline{d}^X$ is balanced on X . Note that $\underline{d}^X$ is not strictly balanced, since $\underline{d}_{Z^c}^X = m_{Z^c}(d)$. By iterating the above procedure for every node in S we arrive at a balanced multidegree on X whose class we denote by $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$. By Lemma 2.4 we have that $\underline{d} = \underline{d}(\mu)$.

Suppose that $\overline{P_X^d}$ is of Néron type. By the previous discussion there is a natural bijection between I_X^d and Δ_X^d , mapping $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ to $(S(\mu), \underline{d}(\mu))$. By Corollary 2.3 we have $S(\mu) \subset X_{\text{sep}}$. Hence for every multidegree $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ such that $[\underline{d}] = \mu$ we have that condition (2) of that lemma holds. In particular every Z as in our statement is such that $Z \cap Z^c \subset S(\mu) \subset X_{\text{sep}}$.

Conversely, if $\overline{P_X^d}$ is not of Néron type there is a class $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ such that

$$g > \dim P_{S(\mu)}^{d(\mu)} = \dim J(X_{S(\mu)}^\nu).$$

But then $S(\mu)$ must contain some non separating node of X . Therefore, by Lemma 2.4(2), there exists a connected subcurve $Z \subset X$ such that $d_Z = m_Z(d)$ and such that $Z \cap Z^c$ contains some non separating node. $\blacksquare$

2.6. Proof of Proposition 1.6. We generalize the proof of [C05, Thm. 6.1]. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ be a regular smoothing of X as defined in 1.1 and $\pi : \overline{P}_f^d \rightarrow B$ be the compactified degree- d Picard scheme. Its smooth locus $P_f^d \rightarrow B$ is such that its fiber over b_0 , denoted P_X^d , satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad P_X^d = \coprod_{(S, \underline{d}) \in I_X^d} P_S^d$$

(notation in 2.3) where each P_S^d is irreducible of dimension g . If the morphism $\varphi_f : P_f^d \rightarrow N_f^d$ is an isomorphism, then P_X^d has as many irreducible components as N_X^d , hence the same holds for $\overline{P}_X^d$. So $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type.

Conversely, if $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type, then P_X^d has an irreducible component for every $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ so that (2.2) takes the form

$$P_X^d = \coprod_{\mu \in \Delta_X^d} P_{S(\mu)}^{d(\mu)}.$$

Let us construct the inverse of χ_f . We pick a balanced representative $\underline{d}^\mu$ for every multidegree class $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ (it exists by Remark 1.11). By [C05, Lemma. 3.10] we have

$$N_f^d \cong \frac{\coprod_{\mu \in \Delta_X^d} \text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu}}{\sim_U}$$

where $\sim_U$ denotes the gluing of the Picard schemes $\text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu}$ along their restrictions over U (as $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^{d^\mu} = \text{Pic}_{f_U}^d$ for every μ). Now, the Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu}$ is endowed with a Poincaré bundle, which is a relatively balanced line bundle on $\mathcal{X} \times_B \text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu}$. By the modular property of $\overline{P}_f^d$ the Poincaré bundle induces a canonical B -morphism

$$\psi_f^\mu : \text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu} \longrightarrow P_{S(\mu)}^{d(\mu)} \subset \overline{P}_f^d.$$

As μ varies, the restrictions of these morphisms over U all coincide with the identity map $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{f_U}^d \subset \overline{P}_{f_U}^d$. Therefore the ψ_f^μ can be glued together to a morphism

$$\psi_f : N_f^d \longrightarrow P_f^d \subset \overline{P}_f^d.$$

It is clear that ψ_f is the inverse of χ_f . Proposition 1.6 is proved. $\blacksquare$

2.7. The main result. From Proposition 2.5 we derive the following.

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a stable curve free from separating nodes. Then $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type if and only if X is d -general.*

Proof. Suppose $X_{\text{sep}} = \emptyset$. Then if there exists $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)} \setminus B_d(X)$, such a $\underline{d}$ will not satisfy the condition of 2.5. Hence if X is not d -general, $\overline{P}_X^d$ is not of Néron type. The converse follows from Corollary 2.3(2). $\blacksquare$

We are ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 2.9. *Let X be a stable curve. Then $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type if and only if X is weakly d -general.*

Proof. Observe that if X is free from separating nodes we are done by Corollary 2.8. Let (Γ, w) be the weighted graph of X and consider the weighted graph (Γ^2, w^2) defined in subsection 1.7. We denote by X^2 a stable curve whose weighted graph is (Γ^2, w^2) ; see Remark 1.8.

Recall that we denote by $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^2$ the contraction map and by

$$\phi : V(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Gamma^2); \quad v \mapsto \sigma(v)$$

the induced map on the vertices, i.e. on the irreducible components. The subcurves of X naturally correspond to the so-called “induced” subgraphs of Γ , i.e. those subgraphs Γ' such that if two vertices v, w of Γ are in Γ' , then every edge of Γ joining v with w lies in Γ' . Similarly, the induced subgraphs of Γ^2 correspond to subcurves of X^2 . If Z^2 is a subcurve of X^2 , and $\Gamma_{Z^2} \subset \Gamma_{X^2}$ its corresponding subgraph, we denote by $Z \subset X$ the subcurve associated to $\sigma^{-1}(\Gamma_{Z^2})$ (it is obvious that the subgraph $\sigma^{-1}(\Gamma_{Z^2})$ is induced if so is Γ_{Z^2}); we refer to Z as the “preimage” of Z^2 . Of course $\sigma(\Gamma_Z) = \Gamma_{Z^2}$.

For any $Z \subset X$ which is the preimage of a subcurve $Z^2 \subset X^2$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad Z \cap X_{\text{sep}} \subset Z_{\text{sep}}$$

or, equivalently, $Z \cap Z^c \cap X_{\text{sep}} = \emptyset$. Conversely, every $Z \subset X$ satisfying (2.3) is the preimage of some $Z^2 \subset X^2$.

Hence Z^2 can be viewed as a smoothing of Z at its separating nodes that are also separating nodes of X , i.e. at $Z_{\text{sep}} \cap X_{\text{sep}}$. Thus, for every Z^2 with preimage Z we have $g_Z = g_{Z^2}$ and $\delta_Z = \delta_{Z^2}$; hence for every $d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$m_{Z^2}(d) = m_Z(d).$$

A multidegree can, and will, be viewed as an integer valued function on the vertices. We claim that there is a surjection

$$\alpha : \overline{B_d(X)} \longrightarrow \overline{B_d(X^2)}$$

such that

$$\forall v^2 \in V(\Gamma^2), \quad \alpha(\underline{d})(v^2) = \sum_{v \in \phi^{-1}(v^2)} \underline{d}(v).$$

If $\underline{d}$ is balanced, so is $\alpha(\underline{d})$, indeed for every subcurve $Z^2 \subset X^2$ we have $\alpha(\underline{d})_{Z^2} = \underline{d}_Z$ where $Z \subset X$ is the preimage of Z^2 ; by what we said above the inequality (1.2) is satisfied on Z^2 if (and only if) it is satisfied on Z .

Let us now show that α is surjective. Let $\underline{d}^2$ be a balanced multidegree on X^2 ; recall that X^2 can be chosen to be a smoothing of X at all of its separating nodes. In other words there exists a family of curves X_t , all having (Γ^2, w^2) as weighted graph, specializing to X . But then there also exists a family of balanced line bundles L_t on X_t specializing to a line bundle of degree $\underline{d}$ on X (this follows from the construction of the universal compactified Picard scheme $\overline{P}_{d,g} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$, see [C05, subsection 5.2] for an overview). By the definition of α , it is clear that the multidegree $\underline{\deg} L_t$ is such that $\alpha(\underline{\deg} L_t) = \underline{d}^2$.

Now assume that $\overline{P_X^d}$ is of Néron type. Our goal is to prove that X^2 is d -general. By contradiction, let $Z^2 \subset X^2$ be a connected subcurve such that for some $\underline{d}^2 \in \overline{B_d(X^2)}$ we have $\underline{d}_{Z^2}^2 = m_{Z^2}(d)$. Let Z be the preimage of Z^2 , and let $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ be such that $\alpha(\underline{d}) = \underline{d}^2$. Then

$$\underline{d}_Z = \underline{d}_{Z^2}^2 = m_{Z^2}(d) = m_Z(d).$$

By Proposition 2.5 we obtain that $Z \cap Z^c \subset X_{\text{sep}}$. Now this is not possible, indeed, as Z is the preimage of Z^2 , (2.3) holds, and therefore $Z \cap Z^c \not\subset X_{\text{sep}}$.

Conversely, let X be a weakly d -general curve. To show that P_X^d is of Néron type we use again Proposition 2.5, according to which it suffices to show that for every $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ and for every $Z \subset X$ such that $Z \cap Z^c \not\subset X_{\text{sep}}$ we have $\underline{d}_Z > m_Z(d)$.

By contradiction. Let Z be a connected subcurve such that $Z \cap Z^c \not\subset X_{\text{sep}}$, and $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ for some balanced multidegree $\underline{d}$ on X . We choose Z maximal with respect to this property, so that we also have

$$(2.4) \quad Z \cap Z^c \cap X_{\text{sep}} = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, if $Z \cap Z^c$ contains a separating node $n \in X_{\text{sep}}$, there is a connected component $Z' \subset Z^c$ such that $Z \cap Z' = \{n\}$; by replacing Z with $W := Z \cup Z'$ we have a connected curve W containing Z and such that $W \cap W^c \not\subset X_{\text{sep}}$; a trivial computation shows that $\underline{d}_W = m_W(d)$, contradicting the maximality of Z .

By (2.4) we have that $Z \cap X_{\text{sep}}$ is all contained in Z_{sep} therefore, as observed in (2.3), the curve Z is the preimage of a subcurve $Z^2 \subset X^2$ (notation as in the previous part of the proof). Now let $\underline{d}^2 = \alpha(\underline{d})$; so $\underline{d}^2 \in \overline{B_d(X^2)} = B_d(X^2)$ by hypothesis. We have

$$\underline{d}_{Z^2}^2 = \underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d) = m_{Z^2}(d).$$

This contradicts the fact that $\underline{d}^2$ is strictly balanced on X^2 . ■

Corollary 2.10. *Let X be a stable curve. If $d = g - 1$ then $\overline{P_X^d}$ is of Néron type if and only if X is a tree-like curve.*

Proof. Let $d = g - 1$. Then X is d -general if and only if X is irreducible (see [M07, Rk. 2.3]). Hence X is weakly d -general if and only if X is tree-like. ■

2.11. *The locus of weakly d -general curves in $\overline{M_g}$.* The locus of d -general curves in $\overline{M_g}$ has been studied in details in [M07] (also in [CE] if $d = 1$ for applications to Abel maps). A stable curve X which is not d -general is called *d -special*. The locus of d -special curves is a closed subscheme denoted $\Sigma_g^d \subset \overline{M_g}$. By [M07, Lemma 2.10], Σ_g^d is the closure of the locus of d -special curves made of two smooth components. Curves made of two smooth components are called *vine curves*. We are going to exhibit a precise description of the complement of the locus of weakly d -general curves in $\overline{M_g}$, i.e. of the locus of curves whose degree- d compactified Jacobian is not of Néron type. We denote it by $D_g^d \subset \overline{M_g}$, i.e.

$$D_g^d := \{X \in \overline{M_g} : \overline{P_X^d} \text{ not of Néron type}\}.$$

In the following statement by $\text{codim } D_g^d$ we mean the codimension of an irreducible component of maximal dimension.

Proposition 2.12. *D_g^d is the closure of the locus of d -special vine curves with at least 2 nodes. Moreover*

$$\text{codim } D_g^d = \begin{cases} +\infty \text{ (i.e. } D_g^d = \emptyset) & \text{if } (d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1, \\ 3 & \text{if } (d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 2 \text{ and } g \text{ is even,} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.9, we have that $X \in D_g^d$ if and only if X is not weakly d -general, if and only if X^2 is not d -general (where X^2 is as in 1.8). This is equivalent to the fact that there exists $\underline{d} \in B_d(X^2)$ and a subcurve $Z \subset X^2$ such that $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$; as X^2 has no separating nodes, for every subcurve $Z \subset X^2$ we have $\delta_Z \geq 2$. This observation added to the proof of [M07, Lemma 2.10] gives that X^2 (and every curve with the same weighted graph) lies in the closure of the locus of d -special vine curves with at least two nodes. Therefore the same holds for X , since X is a specialization of curve with the same weighted graph as X^2 .

Conversely, let X be in the closure of the locus of d -special vine curves with at least two nodes. Then X^2 is also in this closure, as such vine curves are obviously free from separating nodes. By [M07, Lemma 2.10] the curve X^2 is d -special, hence X is not weakly d -general.

Let us turn to $\text{codim } D_g^d$. The fact that if $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1$ then D_g^d is empty is well known ([C05]). Conversely, assume $D_g^d = \emptyset$. By the previous part, the locus of d -special vine curves with at least two nodes is also empty. Now the proof of the numerical Lemma 6.3 in [C94] shows that this implies that $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1$. Indeed the proof of that Lemma shows that if there are no d -special vine curves with two or three nodes then $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1$.

Next, recall that the locus of vine curves with δ nodes has pure codimension δ , and notice that the sublocus of d -special curves is a union of irreducible components.

Now, again by the proof of the above Lemma 6.3, if $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) \neq 1$ and if there are no d -special vine curves with two nodes, then $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 2$, g is even and every vine curve with three nodes, having one component of genus $g/2 - 1$, is d -special. This completes the proof of the Proposition. ■

A precise description of the locus of d -special vine curves is given in [M07, Prop. 2.13]. Her result combined with the previous proposition yields a more precise description of the locus of stable curves whose compactified degree- d Jacobian is of Néron type, for every fixed d .

REFERENCES

- [Ale04] Alexeev, V.: *Compactified Jacobians and Torelli map*. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 40 (2004), 1241–1265.
- [A86] Artin, M.: *Néron models*. Arithmetic geometry, edited by G. Cornell, J.H. Silverman. Proc. Storrs. Springer (1986)
- [BLR] Bosch, S.; Lüktebohmert, W.; Raynaud, M.: *Néron models*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik 21 Springer 1980.
- [C94] Caporaso, L.: *A compactification of the universal Picard variety over the moduli space of stable curves*. Journ. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1994), 589–660.
- [C05] Caporaso, L.: *Néron models and compactified Picard schemes over the moduli stack of stable curves*. American Journal of Mathematics, Vol 130 p.1-47, 2008. Also available at math.AG/0502171.
- [CE] Caporaso, L.; Esteves, E.: *On Abel maps of stable curves*. Mich. Math. Journal, Vol. 55, 575–607, 2007
- [E01] Esteves, E.: *Compactifying the relative Jacobian over families of reduced curves*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), 3045–3095.
- [DM69] Deligne, P.; Mumford, D.: *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No 36 (1969) 75–120.
- [LM05] López-Martin, A.C.: *Simpson Jacobians of reducible curves*. J. reine angew. Math. 582 1–39.
- [M07] Melo, M.: *Compactified Picard stacks over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$* . Math. Z. 263 (2009) no.4, 939–957. Available at arXiv: 0710.3008 (2007).
- [N64] Néron, A.: *Modèles minimaux des variétés abéliennes sur les corps locaux et globaux*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 21 1964.
- [OS79] Oda, T.; Seshadri, C.S.: *Compactifications of the generalized Jacobian variety*. Trans. A.M.S. 253 (1979) 1–90.
- [R70] Raynaud, M.: *Spécialisation du foncteur de Picard*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 38 1970 27–76.
- [Sim94] Simpson, C. T.: *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 80 (1994), 5–79.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ ROMA TRE, LARGO S. LEONARDO MURIALDO 1, 00146 ROMA (ITALY)

E-mail address: caporaso@mat.uniroma3.it

COMPACTIFIED JACOBIANS OF NÉRON TYPE

LUCIA CAPORASO

ABSTRACT. We characterize stable curves X whose compactified degree- d Jacobian is of Néron type. This means the following: for any one-parameter regular smoothing of X , the special fiber of the Néron model of the Jacobian is isomorphic to a dense open subset of the degree- d compactified Jacobian of X . It is well known that compactified Jacobians of Néron type have the best modular properties, and that they are endowed with a mapping property useful for applications.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a stable curve and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ a one-parameter smoothing of X with $\mathcal{X}$ a nonsingular surface; X is thus identified with the special fiber of f and all other fibers are smooth curves. Let $N_f^d \rightarrow B$ be the Néron model of the degree- d Jacobian of the generic fiber of f ; its existence was proved by A. Néron in [N], and its connection with the Picard functor was established by M. Raynaud in [R]. So, $N_f^d \rightarrow B$ is a smooth and separated morphism, whose generic fiber is the degree- d Jacobian of the generic fiber of f ; the special fiber, denoted N_X^d , is isomorphic to a disjoint union of copies of the generalized Jacobian of X . $N_f^d \rightarrow B$ can be interpreted as the maximal separated quotient of the degree- d Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_f^d \rightarrow B$. In particular, if $\text{Pic}_f^d \rightarrow B$ is separated, which happens if and only if X is irreducible, then $N_f^d = \text{Pic}_f^d$ (we refer to [R], [BLR] or [Ar] for details).

The Néron model has a universal property, the Néron Mapping Property ([BLR, def. 1]), which determines it uniquely. Moreover, as d varies in $\mathbb{Z}$, the special fibers, N_X^d , of $N_f^d \rightarrow B$ are all isomorphic.

By contrast, the compactified degree- d Jacobian of a reducible curve X , denoted $\overline{P}_X^d$, has a structure which varies with d . For example, the number of irreducible components, and the modular properties, depend on d ; see Section 2 for details and references.

$\overline{P}_X^d$ will be called of *Néron type* if its smooth locus is isomorphic to N_X^d . Compactified Jacobians of Néron type have the best modular properties. Moreover they inherit a mapping property from the universal property of the Néron model which provides a very useful tool; see for example [CE] for applications to Abel maps.

The purpose of this paper is to classify, for every d , those stable curves $X \in \overline{M}_g$ such that $\overline{P}_X^d$ is on Néron type. The question is interesting if $g \geq 2$, for otherwise $\overline{P}_X^d$ is always irreducible, and hence of Néron type.

Before stating our main result, we need a few words about compactified Jacobians. $\overline{P}_X^d$ parametrizes certain line bundles on quasistable curves

having X as stabilization. These are the so-called “balanced” line bundles; among balanced line bundles there are some distinguished ones, called “strictly balanced”, which have better modular properties. In fact, to every balanced line bundle there corresponds a unique point in $\overline{P}_X^d$, but different balanced line bundles may determine the same point. On the other hand every point of $\overline{P}_X^d$ corresponds to a unique class of strictly balanced line bundles.

The curve X is called *d-general* if every balanced line bundle of degree d is strictly balanced. This is equivalent to the fact that $\overline{P}_X^d$ is a geometric GIT-quotient.

The property of being *d-general* depends only on the weighted dual graph of X , and the locus of *d-general* curves in $\overline{M}_g$ has been precisely described by M. Melo in [M].

Now, the degree- d compactified Jacobian of a *d-general* curve is of Néron type, by [C2, Thm. 6.1]. But, as we will prove, the converse does not hold.

More precisely, a stable curve X is called *weakly d-general* if a curve obtained by smoothing every separating node of X , and maintaining all the non separating nodes, is *d-general*; see Definition 1.13.

Our main result, Theorem 2.9, states that $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type if and only if X is weakly *d-general*. The locus of weakly *d-general* curves in $\overline{M}_g$ is precisely described in section 2.11; its complement turns out to have codimension at least 2.

I am grateful to M. Melo and F. Viviani for their precious comments.

1.1. Notations and conventions.

- (1) We work over an algebraically closed field k . The word “curve” means projective scheme of pure dimension one. The genus of a curve will be the arithmetic genus, unless otherwise specified.
- (2) By X we will always denote a nodal curve of genus $g \geq 2$. For any subcurve $Z \subset X$ we denote by g_Z its arithmetic genus, by $Z^c := \overline{X \setminus Z}$ and by $\delta_Z := \#Z \cap Z^c$. We set $w_Z := \deg_Z \omega_X = 2g_Z - 2 + \delta_Z$.
- (3) A node n of a connected curve X is called *separating* if $X \setminus \{n\}$ is not connected. The set of all separating nodes of X is denoted by X_{sep} and the set of all nodes of X by X_{sing} .
- (4) A nodal curve X of genus $g \geq 2$ is called *stable* if it is connected and if every component $E \subset X$ such that $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfies $\delta_E \geq 3$. X is called *quasistable* if it is connected, if every $E \subset X$ such that $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfies $\delta_E \geq 2$, and if two exceptional components never intersect, where an exceptional component is defined as an $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\delta_E = 2$. We denote by X_{exc} the union of the exceptional components of X .
- (5) Let $S \subset X_{\text{sing}}$ we denote by $\nu_S : X_S^\nu \rightarrow X$ the normalization of X at S , and by $\widehat{X}_S$ the quasistable curve obtained by “blowing-up” all the nodes in S , so that there is a natural surjective map

$$\widehat{X}_S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\#S} E_i \cup X_S^\nu \longrightarrow X$$

restricting to ν_S on X_S^ν and contracting all the exceptional components E_i of $\widehat{X}_S$. $\widehat{X}_S$ is also called a *quasistable curve* of X .

- (6) Let $C_1, \dots, C_\gamma$ be the irreducible components of X . Every line bundle $L \in \text{Pic } X$ has a multidegree $\underline{\deg} L = (\deg_{C_1} L, \dots, \deg_{C_\gamma} L) \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$. Let $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$, we set $|\underline{d}| = \sum_1^\gamma d_i$; for any subcurve $Z \subset X$ we abuse notation slightly and denote

$$\underline{d}_Z := \sum_{C_i \subset Z} d_i.$$

1.2. Compactified Jacobians of Néron type. Let X be any nodal connected curve and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ a one-parameter *regular smoothing* for X , i.e. B is a smooth connected one-dimensional scheme with a marked point $b_0 \in B$, $\mathcal{X}$ is a regular surface, and f is a projective morphism whose fiber over b_0 is X and whose remaining fibers are smooth curves. We set $U := B \setminus \{b_0\}$ and let $f_U : \mathcal{X}_U \rightarrow U$ be the family of smooth curves obtained by restricting f to U . Consider the relative degree d Picard scheme over U , denoted $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d \rightarrow U$. Its Néron model over B will be denoted by

$$(1.1) \quad N_f^d := N(\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d) \longrightarrow B,$$

and its fiber over b_0 will be denoted by N_X^d ; N_X^d is isomorphic to a finite number of copies of the generalized Jacobian of X . The number of copies is independent of d ; to compute it we introduce the so-called “degree class group”.

Let γ be the number of irreducible components of X . For every component C_i of X set $k_{i,j} := \#(C_i \cap C_j)$ if $j \neq i$, and $k_{i,i} = -\#(C_i \cap \overline{C \setminus C_i})$ so that the matrix $(k_{i,j})$ is symmetric matrix. Notice that for every regular smoothing $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of X as above, we have $\deg_{C_j} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(C_i) = k_{i,j}$. Hence this matrix is also related to f , although it does not depend on the choice of f (as long as $\mathcal{X}$ is regular).

We have $\sum_{j=1}^\gamma k_{i,j} = 0$ for every i . Now, for every $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$ set $\underline{c}_i := (k_{1,i}, \dots, k_{\gamma,i}) \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$ and $\mathbf{Z} := \{\underline{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma : |\underline{d}| = 0\}$ so that $\underline{c}_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. We can now define the sublattice $\Lambda_X := \langle \underline{c}_1, \dots, \underline{c}_\gamma \rangle \subset \mathbf{Z}$.

The *degree class group* of X is the group $\Delta_X := \mathbf{Z}/\Lambda_X$. It is not hard to prove that Δ_X is a finite group.

Let $\underline{d}$ and $\underline{d}'$ be in $\mathbb{Z}^\gamma$; we say that they are equivalent if $\underline{d} - \underline{d}' \in \Lambda_X$. We denote by Δ_X^d the set of equivalence classes of multidegrees of total degree d ; for a multidegree $\underline{d}$ we write $[\underline{d}]$ for its class. It is clear that $\Delta_X = \Delta_X^0$ and that

$$\#\Delta_X = \#\Delta_X^d.$$

Now back to N_X^d , the special fiber of (1.1); as we said it is a smooth, possibly non connected scheme of pure dimension g .

Fact 1.3. *Under the above assumptions, the number of irreducible (i.e. connected) components of N_X^d is equal to $\#\Delta_X$.*

This is well known; see [R, 8.1.2] (where Δ_X is the same as $\ker \beta / \text{Im } \alpha$) or [BLR, thm. 9.6.1]. Using the standard notation of Néron models theory we have $\Delta_X = \Phi_{N_f^d}$, i.e. Δ_X is the “component group” of N_f^d .

For every stable curve X and every d we denote by $\overline{P}_X^d$ the degree d compactified Jacobian (or degree- d compactified Picard scheme). $\overline{P}_X^d$ has been constructed in [OS] for a fixed curve, and independently for families in [S] and in [C1] (the constructions of [OS] and [S] are here considered with respect to the canonical polarization); these three constructions give the same scheme by [Al], see also [LM]. We mention that another compactified Jacobian is constructed in [E], whose connection with the others is under investigation; see [MV]. An explicit description of $\overline{P}_X^d$ will be recalled in 2.2. We here anticipate the fact that $\overline{P}_X^d$ is a connected, projective scheme of pure dimension g . As we said in the introduction, several geometric and modular properties of $\overline{P}_X^d$ depend on d .

Definition 1.4. Let X be a stable curve and $\overline{P}_X^d$ its degree- d compactified Jacobian. We say that $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of *Néron type* if the number of irreducible components of $\overline{P}_X^d$ is equal to the number of irreducible components of N_X^d .

Example 1.5. A curve X is called *tree-like* if every node of X lying in two different irreducible components is a separating node.

The compactified Jacobian of a tree-like curve X is easily seen to be always of Néron type. Indeed, $\overline{P}_X^d$ is irreducible for every d ; on the other hand $\#\Delta_X = 1$ so that N_X^d is also irreducible.

Let now $\pi : \overline{P}_f^d \rightarrow B$ be the compactified degree- d Picard scheme of a regular smoothing $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of a stable curve X , as defined in 1.2. So the fiber of π over b_0 is $\overline{P}_X^d$, and the restriction of π over $U = B \setminus \{b_0\} \subset B$ is $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d$. We denote $P_f^d \rightarrow B$ the smooth locus of π . By the Néron Mapping Property there exists a canonical B -morphism, χ_f , from P_f^d to the Néron model of $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d$:

$$\chi_f : P_f^d \rightarrow N_f^d$$

extending the identity map from the generic fiber of π to the generic fiber of $N_f^d \rightarrow B$.

Proposition 1.6. *With the above set up, $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type if and only if the map $\chi_f : P_f^d \rightarrow N_f^d$ is an isomorphism for every $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ as above.*

The proof, requiring a description of $\overline{P}_f^d$, will be given in subsection 2.6.

1.7. Smoothing separating nodes. A stable weighted graph of genus $g \geq 2$ is a pair (Γ, w) , where Γ is a graph and $w : V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ a *weight function*. The genus of (Γ, w) is the number $g_{(\Gamma, w)}$ defined as follows:

$$g_{(\Gamma, w)} = \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} w(v) + b_1(\Gamma).$$

A weighted graph will be called *stable* if every $v \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $w(v) = 0$ has valency at least 3.

Let X be a nodal curve of genus g , the weighted dual graph of X is the weighted graph (Γ_X, w_X) such that Γ_X is the usual dual graph of X (the vertices of Γ_X are identified with the irreducible components of X and the

edges are identified with the nodes of X ; an edge joins two, possibly equal, vertices if the corresponding node is in the intersection of the corresponding irreducible components), and w_X is the *weight function* on the set of irreducible components of X , $V(\Gamma_X)$, assigning to a vertex the geometric genus of the corresponding component. Hence

$$g = \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma_X)} w_X(v) + b_1(\Gamma_X) = g_{(\Gamma_X, w_X)}.$$

X is a stable curve if and only if (Γ_X, w_X) is a stable weighted graph.

Now we ask: What happens to the weighted dual graph of X if we smooth all the separating nodes of X ?

To answer this question, we introduce a new weighted graph, denoted by (Γ^2, w^2) , associated to a weighted graph (Γ, w) . (Γ^2, w^2) is defined as follows. Γ^2 is the graph obtained by contracting every separating edge of Γ to a point. Therefore Γ^2 is 2-edge-connected, i.e. free from separating edges (this explains the notation). To define the weight function w^2 , notice that there is a natural surjective map contracting the separating edges of Γ

$$\sigma : \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma^2,$$

and an induced surjection on the set of vertices

$$\phi : V(\Gamma) \longrightarrow V(\Gamma^2); \quad v \mapsto \sigma(v).$$

Now we define w^2 as follows. For every $v^2 \in V(\Gamma^2)$

$$w^2(v^2) = \sum_{v \in \phi^{-1}(v^2)} w(v).$$

As σ does not contract any cycle, $b_1(\Gamma) = b_1(\Gamma^2)$ and $g_{(\Gamma, w)} = g_{(\Gamma^2, w^2)}$.

Remark 1.8. If (Γ, w) is the weighted dual graph of a curve X , (Γ^2, w^2) is the weighted dual graph of any curve obtained by smoothing every separating node of X . We shall usually denote by X^2 such a curve. Of course X and X^2 have the same genus.

1.9. d -general and weakly d -general curves. Let us recall the definitions of balanced and strictly balanced multidegrees.

Definition 1.10. Let X be a quasistable curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and $L \in \text{Pic}^d X$. Let $\underline{d}$ be the multidegree of L .

- (1) We say that L , or $\underline{d}$, is *balanced* if for any subcurve (equivalently, for any connected subcurve) $Z \subset Y$ we have (notation in 1.1(2))

$$(1.2) \quad \deg_Z L \geq m_Z(d) := \frac{dw_Z}{2g-2} - \frac{\delta_Z}{2},$$

and $\deg_Z L = 1$ if Z is an exceptional component.

- (2) We say that L , or $\underline{d}$, is *strictly balanced* if it is balanced and if strict inequality holds in (1.2) for every $Z \subsetneq X$ such that $Z \cap Z^c \not\subset X_{\text{exc}}$.
(3) We denote

$$\overline{B_d(X)} = \{\underline{d} : |\underline{d}| = d \text{ balanced on } X\} \supset B_d(X) = \{\underline{d} : \text{strictly balanced}\}.$$

The following trivial observations are useful.

- Remark 1.11.* (A) Let $Z = Z_1 \sqcup Z_2 \subset X$ be a disconnected subcurve. Then $m_Z(d) = m_{Z_1}(d) + m_{Z_2}(d)$.
- (B) Suppose X stable and $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$. Then $\underline{d}$ is not strictly balanced if and only if there exists a subcurve $Z \subsetneq X$ such that $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$, or equivalently, $\underline{d}_{Z^c} = m_{Z^c}(d) + \delta_Z$.

Remark 1.12. Let X be stable. By [C2, Prop. 4.12], every multidegree class in Δ_X^d has a balanced representative, which is unique if and only if it is strictly balanced. Therefore

$$\#B_d(X) \leq \#\Delta_X \leq \#\overline{B_d(X)}.$$

The terminology “strictly balanced” is not to be confused with “stably balanced” (used elsewhere and unnecessary here). The two coincide for stable curves; in general, a stably balanced line bundle is strictly balanced, but the converse may fail. Let us explain the difference. The compactified Picard scheme of X , $\overline{P_X^d}$ is a GIT-quotient of a certain scheme by a certain reductive group G . Strictly balanced line bundles correspond to the GIT-semistable orbits that are closed in the GIT-semistable locus. Stably balanced line bundles correspond to GIT-stable points and balanced line bundles correspond to GIT-semistable points. As every point in $\overline{P_X^d}$ parametrizes a unique closed orbit, strictly balanced line bundles of degree d on quasistable curves of X are bijectively parametrized by $\overline{P_X^d}$. See Fact 2.2 below.

Definition 1.13. Let X be a stable curve. We will say that X , or its weighted dual graph (Γ_X, w_X) , is *d-general* if $B_d(X) = \overline{B_d(X)}$ (cf. [C2, 4.13]). (Equivalently, X is *d-general* if the inequalities in Remark 1.12 are both equalities.)

We will say that X is *weakly d-general* if (Γ_X^2, w_X^2) is *d-general*.

Remark 1.14. The following facts are well known (see loc.cit.).

- (1) The set of *d-general* stable curves is a nonempty open subset of $\overline{M_g}$.
- (2) $(d - g + 1, 2g - 1) = 1$ if and only if every stable curve of genus g is *d-general*.
- (3) The property of being *d-general* depends only on the weighted dual graph (obvious).

Example 1.15. If $X_{\text{sep}} = \emptyset$, then X is *d-general* if and only if it is weakly general.

If X is tree-like, then (Γ_X^2, w_X^2) has only one vertex, hence it is *d-general* for every d . Therefore tree-like curves are weakly *d-general* for every d .

2. IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF COMPACTIFIED JACOBIANS

2.1. Compactified degree- d Jacobians. Let us describe the compactified Jacobian $\overline{P_X^d}$ for any degree d . We use the set up of [C1] and [C2]; in these papers there is the assumption $g \geq 3$, but by [OS], [S] and [Al] we can extend our results to $g \geq 2$. A synthetic account of the modular properties of the compactified Jacobian for a curve or for a family can be found in [CE, 3.8 and 5.10].

Fact 2.2. *Let X be a stable curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ is a connected, reduced, projective scheme of pure dimension g , admitting a canonical decomposition (notation in 1.1(5))*

$$\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}} = \coprod_{\substack{S \subset X_{\text{sing}} \\ \underline{d} \in B_d(\widehat{X}_S)}} P_S^{\underline{d}}$$

such that for every $S \subset X_{\text{sing}}$ and $\underline{d} \in B_d(\widehat{X}_S)$ there is a natural isomorphism

$$P_S^{\underline{d}} \cong \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}^\nu} X_S^\nu$$

where $\underline{d}^\nu$ denotes the multidegree on $X_S^\nu \subset \widehat{X}_S$ defined by restricting $\underline{d}$.

Let $i(\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}})$ be the number of irreducible components of $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$; then

$$(2.1) \quad B_d(X) \leq i(\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}) \leq \#\Delta_X.$$

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a stable curve.*

(1) *The decomposition of $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ in irreducible components is*

$$\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}} = \bigcup_{(S, \underline{d}) \in I_X^{\underline{d}}} \overline{P}_S^{\underline{d}}, \quad \text{where} \quad I_X^{\underline{d}} := \{(S, \underline{d}) : S \subset X_{\text{sep}}, \underline{d} \in B_d(\widehat{X}_S)\}.$$

(2) *Suppose that X is d -general; then $P_X^{\underline{d}}$ is of Néron type, and for every nonempty $S \subset X_{\text{sep}}$ we have $B_d(\widehat{X}_S) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. From Fact 2.2 we have that the irreducible components of $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ are the closures of subsets $P_S^{\underline{d}} \cong \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}^\nu} X_S^\nu$ where S is such that $\dim \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}^\nu} X_S^\nu = g$. Now, it is clear that

$$\dim \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}^\nu} X_S^\nu = \dim J(X_S^\nu) = g \quad \text{if and only if} \quad S \subset X_{\text{sep}}.$$

Therefore the irreducible components of $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ correspond bijectively to pairs $(S, \underline{d})$ with $S \subset X_{\text{sep}}$ and $\underline{d} \in B_d(\widehat{X}_S)$.

Now part (2). It is clear that the set $I_X^{\underline{d}}$ contains a subset identifiable with $B_d(X)$, namely the subset $\{(\emptyset, \underline{d}) : \underline{d} \in B_d(X)\}$. If X is d -general then $\#B_d(X) = \#\Delta_X$, hence by (2.1) we must have that $I_X^{\underline{d}}$ contains no pairs other than those of type $(\emptyset, \underline{d})$. This concludes the proof. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a stable curve and let $\mu \in \Delta_X^{\underline{d}}$ be a multidegree class. Then there exists a unique $S(\mu) \subset X_{\text{sing}}$ and a unique $\underline{d}(\mu) \in B_d(\widehat{X}_{S(\mu)})$ such that for every $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ with $[\underline{d}] = \mu$ the following properties hold.*

(1) *There is a canonical surjection*

$$\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}} X \longrightarrow P_{S(\mu)}^{\underline{d}(\mu)} \cong \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}(\mu)^\nu} X_{S(\mu)}^\nu.$$

(2) *We have*

$$S(\mu) = \bigcup_{Z \subset X : \underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)} Z \cap Z^c.$$

Proof. The proof is routine. Let us sketch it using the combinatorial results [C1, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 6.1]. The terminology used in that paper differs from ours as follows: what we here call a “strictly balanced multidegree $\underline{d}$ on a quasistable curve X ” is there called an “extremal pair $(X, \underline{d})$ ”; cf. subsection 5.2 p. 631.

So, the pair $(\widehat{X_{S(\mu)}}, \underline{d}(\mu))$ is the “extremal pair” associated to μ . This means the following. For every balanced line bundle L on X such that $[\underline{\deg} L] = \mu$ the point in $\overline{P_X^d}$ associated to L parametrizes a line bundle $\hat{L} \in \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}} \widehat{X_{S(\mu)}}$, and the restriction of $\hat{L}$ to X_S^ν is uniquely determined by L . Conversely every line bundle in $\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}(\mu)^\nu} X_{S(\mu)}^\nu$ is obtained in this way.

More precisely, as we said, $\overline{P_X^d}$ is a GIT quotient; let us denote it by $\overline{P_X^d} = V_X/G$, so that V_X is made of GIT-semistable points. Let $O_G(L) \subset V_X$ be the orbit of L . Then the semistable closure of $O_G(L)$ contains a unique closed orbit $O_G(\hat{L})$ as above. Moreover for every $\underline{d}' \in B_d(X)$ having class μ there exists $L' \in \text{Pic}^{\underline{d}'} X$ such that the above $O_G(\hat{L})$ lies in the closure of $O_G(L')$. Hence the maps $\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}} X \rightarrow \overline{P_X^d}$ and $\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}'} X \rightarrow \overline{P_X^d}$ have the same image.

Using the notation of Fact 2.2, we have that for every balanced $\underline{d}$ of class μ the canonical map $\text{Pic}^{\underline{d}} X \rightarrow \overline{P_X^d}$ has image $P_{S(\mu)}^{\underline{d}(\mu)}$, so that the first part is proved.

Now (2). The previously mentioned Lemma 5.1 implies that for every $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ and every Z such that $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ we have $Z \cap Z^c \subset S(\mu)$. By the above Lemma 6.1 each $n \in S(\mu)$ is obtained in this way ■

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a stable curve. $\overline{P_X^d}$ is of Néron type if and only if for every $\underline{d} \in \overline{B_d(X)}$ and every connected $Z \subsetneq X$ such that $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ we have*

$$(2.2) \quad Z \cap Z^c \subset X_{\text{sep}}.$$

Proof. We begin by observing that, with the notation of Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$I_X^{\underline{d}} = \{(\underline{d}(\mu), S(\mu)), \forall \mu \in \Delta_X^{\underline{d}} \text{ such that } \dim P_{S(\mu)}^{\underline{d}(\mu)} = g\}.$$

Indeed, by Fact 2.2 the set on the right is clearly included in $I_X^{\underline{d}}$. On the other hand let $(S, \underline{d}) \in I_X^{\underline{d}}$. To show that there exists $\mu \in \Delta_X^{\underline{d}}$ such that $\underline{d} = \underline{d}(\mu)$ we can assume that $S \neq \emptyset$ (otherwise it is obvious). So, $\underline{d}$ is a strictly balanced multidegree of total degree d on $\widehat{X}_S$. Let $n \in S$; by Corollary 2.3 the node n is separating for X ; let $X = Z \cup Z^c$ with $Z \cap Z^c = \{n\}$. Then Z and Z^c can be viewed as subcurves of $\widehat{X}_S$, where they do not intersect since the node n is replaced by an exceptional component E . Now, $\underline{d}_E = 1$, therefore $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ and $\underline{d}_{Z^c} = m_{Z^c}(d)$. Let $C_Z \subset Z \subset X$ be the irreducible component intersecting Z^c (so that $C_Z \subset \widehat{X}_S$ intersects E). Let $\underline{d}^X$ be the multidegree on X defined as follows: for every irreducible component $C \subset X$

$$\underline{d}_C^X = \begin{cases} \underline{d}_C + 1 & \text{if } C = C_Z, \\ \underline{d}_C & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As $\underline{d}$ is balanced on $\widehat{X}_S$ one easily checks that $\underline{d}^X$ is balanced on X . Note that $\underline{d}^X$ is not strictly balanced, since $\underline{d}_{Z^c}^X = m_{Z^c}(d)$ (see Remark 1.11). By iterating the above procedure for every node in S we arrive at a balanced multidegree on X whose class we denote by $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$. By Lemma 2.4 we have that $\underline{d} = \underline{d}(\mu)$.

Suppose that P_X^d is of Néron type. By the previous discussion there is a natural bijection between Δ_X^d and I_X^d , mapping $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ to $(S(\mu), \underline{d}(\mu))$. By Corollary 2.3 we have $S(\mu) \subset X_{\text{sep}}$. Hence for every multidegree $\underline{d} \in \overline{B}_d(X)$ such that $[\underline{d}] = \mu$ we have that condition (2) of that lemma holds. In particular every Z as in our statement is such that $Z \cap Z^c \subset S(\mu) \subset X_{\text{sep}}$.

Conversely, if P_X^d is not of Néron type there is a class $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ such that

$$g > \dim P_{S(\mu)}^{d(\mu)} = \dim J(X_{S(\mu)}^\nu).$$

But then $S(\mu)$ contains some non separating node of X . Hence, by Lemma 2.4(2), there exists a connected subcurve $Z \subset X$ such that $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ and such that $Z \cap Z^c$ contains some non separating node. ■

2.6. Proof of Proposition 1.6. We generalize the proof of [C2, Thm. 6.1]. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ be a regular smoothing of X as defined in subsection 1.2, and $\pi : \overline{P}_f^d \rightarrow B$ be the compactified degree- d Picard scheme. Its smooth locus $P_f^d \rightarrow B$ is such that its fiber over b_0 , denoted P_X^d , satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad P_X^d = \coprod_{(S, \underline{d}) \in I_X^d} P_S^d$$

(notation in 2.3) where each P_S^d is irreducible of dimension g . If the morphism $\chi_f : P_f^d \rightarrow N_f^d$ is an isomorphism, then P_X^d has as many irreducible components as N_X^d , hence the same holds for $\overline{P}_X^d$. So $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type.

Conversely, if $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type, then P_X^d has an irreducible component for every $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ so that (2.3) takes the form

$$P_X^d = \coprod_{\mu \in \Delta_X^d} P_{S(\mu)}^{d(\mu)}.$$

Let us construct the inverse of χ_f . We pick a balanced representative $\underline{d}^\mu$ for every multidegree class $\mu \in \Delta_X^d$ (it exists by Remark 1.12). By [C2, Lemma. 3.10] we have

$$N_f^d \cong \frac{\coprod_{\mu \in \Delta_X^d} \text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu}}{\sim_U}$$

where $\sim_U$ denotes the gluing of the Picard schemes $\text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu}$ along their restrictions over U (as $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^{d^\mu} = \text{Pic}_{f_U}^d$ for every μ). Now, the Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu}$ is endowed with a Poincaré bundle, which is a relatively balanced line bundle on $\mathcal{X} \times_B \text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu}$. By the modular property of $\overline{P}_f^d$ the Poincaré bundle induces a canonical B -morphism

$$\psi_f^\mu : \text{Pic}_f^{d^\mu} \longrightarrow P_{S(\mu)}^{d(\mu)} \subset \overline{P}_f^d.$$

As μ varies, the restrictions of these morphisms over U all coincide with the identity map $\text{Pic}_{f_U}^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{f_U}^d \subset \overline{P}_f^d$. Therefore the ψ_f^μ can be glued together to a morphism

$$\psi_f : N_f^d \longrightarrow P_f^d \subset \overline{P}_f^d.$$

It is clear that ψ_f is the inverse of χ_f . Proposition 1.6 is proved. $\blacksquare$

2.7. The main result. From Proposition 2.5 we derive the following.

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a stable curve free from separating nodes. Then $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type if and only if X is d -general.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.3(2) there is only one implication to prove. Namely, suppose that X is not d -general. Then there exists $\underline{d} \in \overline{B}_d(X) \setminus B_d(X)$, and hence a subcurve $Z \subset X$ such that $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ (see Remark 1.11). As $X_{\text{sep}} = \emptyset$, condition (2.2) of Proposition 2.5 cannot be satisfied. Therefore $\overline{P}_X^d$ is not of Néron type. $\blacksquare$

We are ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 2.9. *Let X be a stable curve. Then $\overline{P}_X^d$ is of Néron type if and only if X is weakly d -general.*

Proof. Observe that if X is free from separating nodes we are done by Corollary 2.8. Let (Γ, w) be the weighed graph of X and consider the weighted graph (Γ^2, w^2) defined in subsection 1.7. We denote by X^2 a stable curve whose weighted graph is (Γ^2, w^2) . By Remark 1.8 the curve X^2 can be viewed as a smoothing of X at X_{sep} .

Recall that we denote by $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^2$ the contraction map and by

$$\phi : V(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Gamma^2); \quad v \mapsto \sigma(v)$$

the induced map on the vertices, i.e. on the irreducible components. The subcurves of X naturally correspond to the so-called “induced” subgraphs of Γ , i.e. those subgraphs Γ' such that if two vertices v, w of Γ are in Γ' , then every edge of Γ joining v with w lies in Γ' . Similarly, the induced subgraphs of Γ^2 correspond to subcurves of X^2 . If Z^2 is a subcurve of X^2 , and $\Gamma_{Z^2} \subset \Gamma_{X^2}$ its corresponding subgraph, we denote by $Z \subset X$ the subcurve associated to $\sigma^{-1}(\Gamma_{Z^2})$ (it is obvious that the subgraph $\sigma^{-1}(\Gamma_{Z^2})$ is induced if so is Γ_{Z^2}); we refer to Z as the “preimage” of Z^2 . Of course $\sigma(\Gamma_Z) = \Gamma_{Z^2}$.

For any $Z \subset X$ which is the preimage of a subcurve $Z^2 \subset X^2$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad Z \cap X_{\text{sep}} \subset Z_{\text{sep}}$$

or, equivalently, $Z \cap Z^c \cap X_{\text{sep}} = \emptyset$. Conversely, every $Z \subset X$ satisfying (2.4) is the preimage of some $Z^2 \subset X^2$.

Hence Z^2 can be viewed as a smoothing of Z at its separating nodes that are also separating nodes of X , i.e. at $Z_{\text{sep}} \cap X_{\text{sep}}$. Thus, for every Z^2 with preimage Z we have $g_Z = g_{Z^2}$ and $\delta_Z = \delta_{Z^2}$; hence for every $d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(2.5) \quad m_{Z^2}(d) = m_Z(d).$$

We shall now view multidegrees as an integer valued functions on the vertices. We claim that we have a surjection

$$\alpha : \overline{B}_d(X) \longrightarrow \overline{B}_d(X^2)$$

defined as follows: for every vertex $v^2 \in V(\Gamma^2)$ we set

$$\alpha(\underline{d})(v^2) := \sum_{v \in \phi^{-1}(v^2)} \underline{d}(v).$$

Let us first show that if $\underline{d}$ is balanced, so is $\alpha(\underline{d})$. For every subcurve $Z^2 \subset X^2$ we have $\alpha(\underline{d})_{Z^2} = \underline{d}_Z$ where $Z \subset X$ is the preimage of Z^2 ; by (2.5) the inequality (1.2) is satisfied on Z^2 if (and only if) it is satisfied on Z .

Let us now show that α is surjective. Let $\underline{d}^2$ be a balanced multidegree on X^2 ; we know that X^2 can be chosen to be a smoothing of X at X_{sep} . In other words there exists a family of curves X_t , all having (Γ^2, w^2) as weighted graph, specializing to X . But then there also exists a family of line bundles L_t on X_t , having degree $\underline{d}^2$, specializing to a balanced line bundle of some degree $\underline{d}$ on X (this follows from the construction of the universal compactified Picard scheme $\overline{P}_{d,g} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$, see [C2, subsection 5.2]). By the definition of α , it is clear that the multidegree $\underline{d}$ is such that $\alpha(\underline{d}) = \underline{d}^2$.

We are ready to prove the Theorem. Assume that $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ is of Néron type. Our goal is to prove that X^2 is d -general. By contradiction, let $Z^2 \subset X^2$ be a connected subcurve such that for some $\underline{d}^2 \in \overline{B}_d(X^2)$ we have $\underline{d}_{Z^2}^2 = m_{Z^2}(d)$. Let Z be the preimage of Z^2 , and let $\underline{d} \in \overline{B}_d(X)$ be such that $\alpha(\underline{d}) = \underline{d}^2$. Then

$$\underline{d}_Z = \underline{d}_{Z^2}^2 = m_{Z^2}(d) = m_Z(d).$$

By Proposition 2.5 we obtain that $Z \cap Z^c \subset X_{\text{sep}}$. This is in contradiction with (2.4); so we are done.

Conversely, let X be weakly d -general; i.e. $\overline{B}_d(X^2) = B_d(X^2)$. To show that $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ is of Néron type we use again Proposition 2.5, according to which it suffices to show that for every $\underline{d} \in \overline{B}_d(X)$ and for every $Z \subsetneq X$ such that $Z \cap Z^c \not\subset X_{\text{sep}}$ we have $\underline{d}_Z > m_Z(d)$.

By contradiction. Let Z be a connected subcurve such that $Z \cap Z^c \not\subset X_{\text{sep}}$, and $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d)$ for some balanced multidegree $\underline{d}$ on X . We choose Z maximal with respect to this properties. This choice yields

$$(2.6) \quad Z \cap Z^c \cap X_{\text{sep}} = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, if $Z \cap Z^c$ contains some $n \in X_{\text{sep}}$, there exists a connected component Z' of Z^c such that $Z \cap Z' = \{n\}$. Let $W := Z \cup Z'$; then W is a connected curve containing Z . Now, $W \cap W^c = Z \cap Z^c - \{n\}$, hence $W \cap W^c \not\subset X_{\text{sep}}$; moreover, using Remark 1.11 one easily checks that $\underline{d}_W = m_W(d)$. This contradicts the maximality of Z .

By (2.6) we have that $Z \cap X_{\text{sep}}$ is all contained in Z_{sep} therefore, as observed immediately after (2.4), the curve Z is the preimage of a subcurve $Z^2 \subset X^2$. Now let $\underline{d}^2 = \alpha(\underline{d})$; so $\underline{d}^2 \in \overline{B}_d(X^2) = B_d(X^2)$ by hypothesis. We have

$$\underline{d}_{Z^2}^2 = \underline{d}_Z = m_Z(d) = m_{Z^2}(d).$$

This contradicts the fact that $\underline{d}^2$ is strictly balanced. ■

Corollary 2.10. *Let X be a stable curve of genus g , and let $d = g - 1$. Then $\overline{P}_X^{\underline{d}}$ is of Néron type if and only if X is a tree-like curve.*

Proof. As $d = g - 1$, by [M, Remark 2.3] X is d -general if and only if X is irreducible. Hence X is weakly d -general if and only if X is tree-like. ■

2.11. *The locus of weakly d -general curves in $\overline{M}_g$.* The locus of d -general curves in $\overline{M}_g$ has been studied in details in [M] (also in [CE] if $d = 1$ for applications to Abel maps). A stable curve X which is not d -general is called *d -special*. The locus of d -special curves is a closed subscheme denoted $\Sigma_g^d \subset \overline{M}_g$. By [M, Lemma 2.10], Σ_g^d is the closure of the locus of d -special curves made of two smooth components. Curves made of two smooth components are called *vine curves*.

We are going to exhibit a precise description of D_g^d , the complement in $\overline{M}_g$ of the locus of weakly d -general curves:

$$D_g^d := \{X \in \overline{M}_g : \overline{P}_X^d \text{ not of Néron type}\}.$$

In the following statement by $\text{codim } D_g^d$ we mean the codimension of an irreducible component of maximal dimension.

Proposition 2.12. *D_g^d is the closure of the locus of d -special vine curves with at least 2 nodes. Moreover*

$$\text{codim } D_g^d = \begin{cases} +\infty \text{ (i.e. } D_g^d = \emptyset) & \text{if } (d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1, \\ 3 & \text{if } (d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 2 \text{ and } g \text{ is even,} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.9, we have that $X \in D_g^d$ if and only if X is not weakly d -general, if and only if X^2 is not d -general (where X^2 is as in 1.8). This is equivalent to the fact that there exists $\underline{d} \in B_d(X^2)$ and a subcurve $Z \subsetneq X^2$ such that $\underline{d}_Z = m_Z(\underline{d})$; as X^2 has no separating nodes, for every subcurve $Z \subsetneq X^2$ we have $\delta_Z \geq 2$. This observation added to the proof of [M, Lemma 2.10] gives that X^2 (and every curve with the same weighted graph) lies in the closure of the locus of d -special vine curves with at least two nodes. Therefore the same holds for X , since X is a specialization of curve with the same weighted graph as X^2 .

Conversely, let X be in the closure of the locus of d -special vine curves with at least two nodes. Then X^2 is also in this closure, as such vine curves are obviously free from separating nodes. By [M, Lemma 2.10] the curve X^2 is d -special, hence X is not weakly d -general.

Let us turn to the codimension of D_g^d . The fact that if $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1$ then D_g^d is empty is well known ([C2]). Conversely, assume $D_g^d = \emptyset$. By the previous part, the locus of d -special vine curves with at least two nodes is also empty. Now the proof of the numerical Lemma 6.3 in [C1] shows that this implies that $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1$. In fact, the proof of that Lemma shows that if there are no d -special vine curves with two or three nodes then $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1$.

Next, recall that the locus, V_δ , of vine curves with δ nodes has pure codimension δ , and notice that the sublocus of d -special curves is a union of irreducible components of V_δ .

Now, again by the proof of the above Lemma 6.3, if $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) \neq 1$ and if there are no d -special vine curves with two nodes, then $(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 2$, g is even and every vine curve with three nodes, having one component of genus $g/2 - 1$, is d -special. This completes the proof of the Proposition. $\blacksquare$

A precise description of the locus of d -special vine curves is given in [M, Prop. 2.13]. Her result combined with the previous proposition yields a more precise description of the locus of stable curves whose compactified degree- d Jacobian is of Néron type, for every fixed d .

REFERENCES

- [Al] Alexeev, V.: *Compactified Jacobians and Torelli map*. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 40 (2004), 1241–1265.
- [Ar] Artin, M.: *Néron models*. In Arithmetic geometry, edited by G. Cornell, J.H. Silverman. Proc. Storrs. Springer (1986)
- [BLR] Bosch, S.; Lüktebohmert, W.; Raynaud, M.: *Néron models*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik 21 Springer (1980).
- [C1] Caporaso, L.: *A compactification of the universal Picard variety over the moduli space of stable curves*. Journ. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1994), 589–660.
- [C2] Caporaso, L.: *Néron models and compactified Picard schemes over the moduli stack of stable curves*. American Journal of Mathematics, Vol 130 (2008) 1-47. Also available at math.AG/0502171.
- [CE] Caporaso, L.; Esteves, E.: *On Abel maps of stable curves*. Mich. Math. Journal, Vol. 55 (2007) 575-607.
- [E] Esteves, E.: *Compactifying the relative Jacobian over families of reduced curves*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), 3045-3095.
- [LM] López-Martin, A.C.: *Simpson Jacobians of reducible curves*. J. reine angew. Math. 582 (2005) 1-39.
- [M] Melo, M.: *Compactified Picard stacks over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$* . Math. Z. 263 (2009) no.4, 939-957. Available at arXiv: 0710.3008 (2007).
- [MV] Melo, M.; Viviani, F.: *Fine compactified Jacobians*. Preprint. Available at arXiv:1009.3205.
- [N] Néron, A.: *Modèles minimaux des variétés abéliennes sur les corps locaux et globaux*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ.Math. No. 21 (1964) 5-128.
- [OS] Oda, T.; Seshadri, C.S.: *Compactifications of the generalized Jacobian variety*. Trans. A.M.S. 253 (1979) 1-90.
- [R] Raynaud, M.: *Spécialisation du foncteur de Picard*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 38 (1970) 27-76.
- [S] Simpson, C. T.: *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 80 (1994) 5-79.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ, ROMA TRE. LARGO SAN LEONARDO MURIALDO 1, 00146 ROMA (ITALY)

E-mail address: caporaso@mat.uniroma3.it